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Abstract: The main objective, here, is to explore the economic viability of the solar powered desalination method through a cost and benefit comparative and contrast study. Using the initial construction expenditure, the annual maintenance cost, and energy consumed or produced a variance ratio test of the random walk hypothesis will be implemented to determine their relative financial efficiency. This paper will also utilize the first order autoregressive multivariate estimation model to analyze the methods and identify the most productive process with most financial promise for future investment. The total deviations of the estimated variables from the actual are accounted for by the variations of the variances of the estimates from the actual. The higher the percentage of the unexplained deviation the higher the risk involved. The portfolio variance will be utilized to measure the investment risk in the three desalination industries.

Index:
System’s lifecycle: the time after which the system become a liability
Heteroscedasticity: different sampling variables
Autoregressive: descriptive estimates of random variables
Asymptotic: a line infinitely approaching another curve-linear lines

Glossary of Terms:
\( \hat{R}_{i,t} \) = estimated variable
\( \theta_i \) = estimation constant
\( \pi_i \) = variables’ estimation coefficient
\( \hat{e}_{i,t} \) = random variable above or below the average variable’s estimate
\( r \) = correlational coefficient
\( \sigma_i \) = variance / covariance
\( V(\hat{R}) \) = portfolio variance variable
\( R^2 \) = coefficient of determination
\( \eta_{i, j} \) and \( d \) = sampling percentage ratios

1. Introduction:
Water is probably the most important commodity affecting the lively-hoods of the majority of the populations on earth. In due course, water will be at the center-stage of a worldwide crisis that may consume millions of lives. It is imperative to find the least expensive and most productive approach to mass produce water in order to satisfy the future needs of earth’s inhabitants. Not much literature is written on direct solar energy for the purpose of producing drinking water, not on a large scale anyway.

The nuclear, gas and solar energy schemes differ in the technique to produce energy [1], [2], however, there are few viable options to produce drinking water. In this paper only the Multi-Stage-Flash (MSF) system is considered for the desalination of seawater [3]. MSF uses a process in which seawater is heated, evaporated then condensed to produce drinking water. For the nuclear and gas plants the water, on average, is heated to boiling temperature, 100 - 105 \( \text{C} \), to increase the percentage of the evaporated water, which in turn increases the percentage of condensed water. However, the downside, in this case, is that increasing the heated water temperature, on the long run, lowers the efficiency of MSF system, and reduces the span of the system’s lifecycle. This increases cost and lowers its investment potential. The direct solar heat, on the other hand, heats the seawater in long parallel ducts, figure-1, bottom of the table, to a reasonable temperature subject to the location and seasonal temperature variations. In Saudi Arabia the water collectors’ temperature averages about 80 \( \text{C} \) for the solar-heated seawater during the days of the summer months [7]. The average temperature difference between the seawater and the seaside ground surface fluctuates for nights and days, winter to summer periods, 30 to 50 \( \text{C} \), respectively [7]. This increases the evaporation and condensation during the summer period, however, the temperature interval separating the lows and highs during the rest of the year is enough for the production of large amounts of drinking water [3], [7].

In Saudi Arabia a large number of gas-powered seawater desalination plants are either, operating, being built, or planned. Due to the scarcity of water in the Arabian peninsula Saudi Arabia may rely on seawater desalination for a long time to come. However, it is worth mentioning that on the long run, although not economically proven feasible, some believe that nuclear energy maybe the most reliable for, simultaneously, producing and desalinating electricity and sea water, respectively [4], [8].

The data can be divided into informational data such as the cost of energy, operation and maintenance (O&M) per million joules (MJ), and the cost of construction ($)/MJ. This information is used to calculate the total cost per million joules (TC/MJ) of energy [1]. Maintenance includes energy, labor, parts and other indirect costs. Construction includes, for nuclear power, nuclear reactors, turbines, heaters, all
other required plant’s concrete chamber(s), compressors, gauges and monitors; for gas power, all of the above, excluding the nuclear reactor, and adding the gas-based electric turbines (6).

1.1 Defining the parameters: The data for the nuclear and gas energy based plants are extracted from US energy production costs between 1995 and 2011. The previous three years were the result of a regressive inflation based extrapolation [1] [2]. However, the solar powered plant’s data is entirely an inflation-based extrapolated estimates [7]. (TC/MJ) is utilized for two purposes:

a. To calculate the profit under the assumption that the initial cost is financed. In this case the cost of the first year is multiplied by 2000 to obtain the present worth (PW) of the financing money for the establishment of a 2GJ energy-plant, for the production of drinking water. The annual payment (AP) and the future worth (FW) of the borrowed one is calculated at the bottom of tables I, II & III for each of the industrial sectors, however only (table-I) will be discussed since all tables follow the same mathematical procedure, except for the solar energy where the data is extracted entirely, as mentioned above, from inflation based data, and is the main subject of this paper. The total cost of a two Giga Joules of an energy plant will be used as the present worth of the project to estimate and gauge their future value over twenty years. The first year, 1992, will be used to calculate the present worth-value to finance the project. The financing data will be used purely for comparative purposes with the actual data and its estimates to emphasize the viability of the marketing future of the desalination industry.

b. The actual total of the varying inflation-based cost [13], will be calculated by tallying the cost of the first year, 1992, plus the aggregate portions greater than the 1992-magnitude, for the duration of the time series to 2011. With the exception of the nuclear sector, both the gas-based and the direct sun-radiation energy-based sectors fluctuated above and below the 1992 magnitude, due to many factors which will be discussed later. The future value of the actual total cost is displayed in row 25, under the “Actual total cost/MJ”, in table I, T1, T2 and T3; for the three sectors, respectively, where Ti= [(RI*2000)+ ∑(Rij - Ri)] for i=1 and j=1..n; where i,...,n stands for the magnitudes of 1992 – 2011. These values for the three sectors are also solely as reference and for comparative purposes. The actual market rates’ time series values for the three sectors, ‘revenue data’, (R1, R2 and R3), will be estimated using the first order autoregressive model (FOA) to estimate R1, R2 and R3 [12]. The actual and estimated values will be utilized to run a variance ratio test of the random walk hypothesis of both variances, table II, VR(q1) and VR(q2). This is employed to assess the viability of the energy producing schemes including the solar seawater desalination. The data in turn can be evaluated using the variances and data fluctuations of the three energy schemes. The objective is to forecast the viability of the solar energy for seawater desalination. The notion that annual changes in the cost of energy-projects including desalination are equivalent to changes in stocks’ earning yields is adopted to facilitate the process. The stocks of the involved manufacturing businesses are rising due to people’s growing need for drinking water. The increasing cost of seawater-desalination projects is due to both, the rising cost of the energy and the emergence of numerous and diverse advances in desalination technology that have not been extensively tested; despite its competitive market. Energy projects for water desalination are investments known for its high return, however the risk associated with such projects adds to the uncertainty of the seawater desalination ventures in general. Solar seawater desalination eliminates this uncertainty [7]. The cost-effectiveness and efficiency of the desalination of seawater through direct solar energy is an asset that someday will provide the world with most of its drinking water.

2. Application analysis: The schemes employed are intended to test for the most appropriate energy-based-process for global mass water-production. In this paper, the total per energy cost of the construction, operation and maintenance is subject to changing inflation, depreciation, and rate changes in the cost of material due to constantly changing technology. However, the cost is actually an investment, part of which is earnings to investors; which maybe a cost to the project’s owners hoping to make a profit on the long run. There are three parties involved here, the manufacturers, for whom the project is revenue, the banks or investors providing the loan, and the owners operating or leasing the finished project for profit. The first year’s Cost/MJ of energy is used as the base value to calculate the present value of the investment. The financing uses this value to calculate the annual payment and future value of the investment after twenty years with a 3% interest rate, purely for economic comparison purposes. The calculated future values (FW), as shown in table I, for the solar case, with annual principle payment AP1, FW ranges from 7 to 9% of the actual annually changing per energy cost T1. This indicates a successful endeavor despite the low interest rate. The solar desalination actual cost of the energy is lowest in comparison to the nuclear and gas powered methods. However, that is not necessarily enough to appreciate this scheme. The cost of such technique may be higher in other countries. Nations in the northern and southern hemisphere may not have enough solar radiation to generate enough heat, not to mention the sea-side ground elevation relative to seawater level [7]. Nations near the equator especially in desert areas may not have the appropriate geography to employ such approach, and altering the landscape maybe too costly.

3. Technical analysis:

3.1 The methodology: This paper uses the total annual Cost/MJ for nuclear, gas and solar seawater desalination industries, (table I, for the solar industry), as industrial investments’ annual revenues,
Table I: Energy Cost Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar</th>
<th>Actual Total cost/MJ</th>
<th>Estimated cost/MJ</th>
<th>Actual Total</th>
<th>Estimated cost/MJ</th>
<th>Actual Total</th>
<th>Estimated cost/MJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>average RJ1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005312</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005612</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005613</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00054164</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000552</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000552</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00055118</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00054164</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005315</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005216</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005316</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005317</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00054175</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000552</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000552</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00056337</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006706</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0007052</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0007453</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ri, with R1, R2 and R3, for the industries mentioned above, respectively. The data is utilized to assess the viability of solar seawater desalination as a continuous source of drinking water. The actual data is estimated using the first order autoregressive model equations-1-6, table I, [5][12]. Equation-7 is used to test for the stability and deviation of the explained and unexplained autoregressive model's variations between the actual and estimated variances table I. Then using equations-8-11, the variance ratio (VR) is calculated to test for the random walk hypothesis, hypothesis II. Finally, equations 8 and 13, the heteroscedastic asymptotic variance (HAV) and 15, the consistency form, to test for the market - earnings consistency, in this case growth or a bubble; table II. Equation-16 tests the estimated variables and variances for investment risks for the three industrial sectors. The equations mentioned above are listed in the order they are employed as follows:

where Ri,t = the values vector represented by the industrial return at time t. "θi, πi = constants calculated using ordinary least squares model εij = a noise random variable in the industrial-return variables, averaging zero with a value of 1 for standard deviation in bivariate dependent regression, which maybe more instrumental in economics sensitivity studies; overall can be eliminated in earnings estimates."[5]. Equation-1, puts more emphasis on regressive estimates of the actual values with emphasis on the so called unexplained disturbance (noise) for multivariate regression. This unexplained disturbance, in reality is an error that can be explained by the variation in the deviation of the estimated variance from that of the actual over the regression period. In this case, it is simplified by employing the time series periods and degrees of freedom to factor into the co-variances instead of using expected return coefficients. The coefficients in this equation correlate the independent variables.

Where θi = θi + πi Ri, i = 3, 1 (2)

Where θi = θi - r (σi / σj) θj (3)

for solar desalination i = 3)

if i = 3, 1 then (σi / σj) = (σ3 / σ1), (σi / σj), i ≠ j, respectively.

πi = r (σi / σj) for i = 3 (4)

V(θi) = πi2 θiθi + V(εi,t) = (r (σi / σj))2 V(θi) + V(εi,t) (5)
Equation-5 can be employed for estimating variance of two variables however our case requires equation-7 due to the extreme fluctuations of our industrial variables. Equation-2, estimates the actual variables' for two variables. In this process, as mentioned in the previous paragraph, the noise’ standard deviation is 1, and averaging zero which allows economists to exclude the disturbance, in this case, when estimating revenue. However, equation-1 is more appropriate where the unexplained deviation is formulated by manipulating equation-7. For example, equation-6 is an example of a partial correlation coefficient where $r_{32}$ correlates the independent variables $R_2$ and $R_3$, a correlation coefficient for a third variable is utilized to compensate for the significant disparities in magnitude between the separate variables. So for $i=2, j=3$ $i \neq j$

\[
\pi_i = r_{ij}(\sigma_i/\sigma_j) = \frac{r_{32}(\sigma_2/\sigma_1) + r_{31}(\sigma_3/\sigma_1)}{r_{32}(\sigma_2/\sigma_1) + r_{31}(\sigma_3/\sigma_1)} \quad (6)
\]
or vice versa for the variance and correlation coefficients.

\[
V(\hat{R}_i) = R_i^2 V(\hat{R}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \sigma_i \sigma_j \text{cov}(\hat{R}_i, \hat{R}_j)}{(n-k) \sigma_i^2} \quad (7)
\]
In this equation we can see the coefficient of determination $R^2$ which is a variance ratio used primarily to simplify explaining the variance deviation between the actuals and their estimates, and is instrumental in calculating the noise [10].

The following, equation-8 is the population variance ratio relating the variables on the basis of their degrees of freedom to accentuate the sampling population variability, where the fluctuation in equation-9 is examined in relationship to equation-10.

$$VR (k) = \frac{\sigma^2(k)}{\sigma^2(1)}$$ (8)

$$\sigma^2(k) = 1/(nk-1)nk \sum_{t=m+1} (X_t - X_{t-1} - \bar{x})^2$$ (9)

Where \( \bar{x} = (1/nk)(x_{nk} - x_0) \)

$$v(k) = 2(2k-1)(k-1)/3nk$$ (12)

Equation-13 is similar to equation-8 with more emphasis on the heteroscedasticity of the variance variables; in this case, not only is the sampling of the population is important, but also the diversity of the variables.

$$v*(k)= k^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} [2(k-m)/k]^2$$

$$[nk \sum_{t=m+1} (X_t - X_{t-1} - \bar{x})^2 (X_{t-m} - X_{t-m-1} - \bar{x})^2] /$$

$$[nk \sum_{t=m+1} (X_t - X_{t-1} - \bar{x})^2]^2$$ (13)

$$Z(k)= \frac{(VR (k)-1)}{(v(k)^{1/2})}$$ (14)

$$Z*(k)= \frac{(VR (k)-1)}{(v*(k)^{1/2})}$$ (15)

$$V(R) = \eta^2 V(R_1) + \eta^2 V(R_2) + \eta^2 V(R_3) + 2\eta\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + 2\eta\sigma_1 \sigma_3 + 2\eta \sigma_2 \sigma_3$$ (16)

Equations-14 and 15 relate the variance ratios for homoscedasticity and heteroscedasticity, respectively. Equation-16 is the portfolio selection theory formula [11]; it provides the industrial investment risk assessment employing the variables and variances’ estimates.

3.2 The abstract analysis:

The solar-heat plant’s maintenance’s cost encompasses cleaning the reservoir’s walls and surfaces, the condensers and evaporators piping along with the solar fields metallic surfaces and concrete inner ducts. The cleaning is done through flush-rinsing the surfaces, ducts and pipes with forced water and using water suctioning to help clear the surfaces and linings of precipitants. The cost is estimated to be $1 per square meter plus $1 per square meter for coating the solar fields’ metal surfaces with less than one millimeter of tar. The total surface area including the ducts and piping is estimated to be 10 Mm². (million square meters). The total cost = 10*1 + 4(total tar surface-area)*1 = $14 M; the cost pricing employs the market’s whole-sale maintenance pricing in Saudi Arabia during the late Nineties. The cost per square meter = 14/10 = $1.4 per square meter and the cost of the energy produced = 14*10/(2.96*4*10^6) =1.18 per MW, where the energy produced ~= 2.96 MJ/m² and the heat collectors area is 4 million square meters [7]. The inflation index between 1995 and 2013 has a low of 98 with a high of 148. Using an average approximation we get 2.8 as the annual (consumer price index) average inflation, with the year 1999 as the base index for the actual data on table I. Table(III): sums-up the results of the analyses of the three industries and their feasibility on the bases of the tests done above.
4. Conclusion:

Sadly, as it maybe, some sort of a hidden agenda to elicit large profits maybe in the works in the minds of many business executives looking to tap into this futuristically very profitable undertaking. Such mind-set is acceptable and encouraged, eventually mankind will benefit from such unsettling agenda. The analysis above demonstrates that seawater desalination is a viable business sector with a very profitable outlook. The utilization of direct solar energy is a definite plus technically and economically. The low cost of employing solar energy signifies its potential value. Although, land cost was never mentioned in the costing of this example, due to the government control over public land in Saudi Arabia, however, public land throughout the world can be leased at reasonable rates when utilized for public benefits. The low construction and maintenance cost of the solar energy for desalination of seawater outweighs legal, legislative or political obstacles. It is easy to build easy to maintain and unlike the other two options, is not subject to market or political fluctuation and wrangling, respectively.
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(Figure 1): the tide-based seawater desalination system (Ashry, Mohammed H; Csrea Press, 2013)