

# A Behavioral Programming Approach to the Design-Development of Humanoid Robots

Alan Rosen  
Machine Consciousness Inc.  
1917 Clark Lane  
Redondo Beach, CA 90278 USA

David B. Rosen  
Machine Consciousness Inc.  
1917 Clark Lane  
Redondo Beach, CA 90278 USA

**Abstract** An introduction to a radical new approach to solving the many challenges of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in humanoid robotics. Through the use of a Relational Robotic Controller (RRC) and Relational Correlation Sequencer (RCS) modules, including a self-location and identification coordinate frame, behavioral programming techniques may be employed to achieve human-like levels of AI for the identification, recognition, visualization, and comprehension of the input signals. Behavioral programming techniques may also be applied to auditory signals and to the control of a verbal-phoneme sound generator, to achieve human-like levels of AI for declarative-verbal capabilities of a humanoid robot. The approach described herein represents a paradigm shift in today's analytical-programming methodology.

**Keywords:** human-like artificial intelligence; humanoid robots; thinking machines; behavioral-programming; behavioral speech processing.

**Acknowledgment:** The authors are grateful to MCon Inc. for allowing us to publish their proprietary data, which was accumulated during the past 20 years. This paper presents an overview/introduction to the design of smart RRC-Humanoid Robots. The reader may obtain technical details relating to the design in the references included in this paper. Also the authors are planning the presentation of technical details in two follow-on papers, at the Humanoid Robot Conference to be held later this year. The two papers will describe the behavioral-programming approach for the Visual and Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robots.

## 1 Introduction

By the 1950's the theoretical foundation for Artificial Intelligence (AI) had been established [1] [2] and Alan Turing, arguably, the founder of AI, posed the question: "When is a machine thinking?" More than 60 years later, this question has not been answered satisfactorily. In the discipline of AI, the question may be reworded to: "When does a machine display human-like levels of AI?"

Alan Turing's approach to an answer was in terms of the behavior of the machine. He devised a "Turing test" based on the conversational behavior of the machine; and deemed any machine that passed the test to be a thinking machine [2] [3]. In this paper we describe a building path for a machine that can reach human-like levels of AI, defined in terms of the

behavior of the machine. It describes a behavioral programming approach for the design of a smart RRC based humanoid robot, called an RRC-Humanoid Robot. The RRC-Humanoid Robot is a human-like robotic system, controlled by a proprietary Relational Robotic Controller (RRC) [4] [5] [6] [7].

### 1.1 But First, a Note About Human-like Levels of AI

**1.1.1 Human intelligence is experiential intelligence.** Humans learn from, and remember their experiences throughout their lifetime. A behaviorally programmed RRC-humanoid robot emulates the experiential intelligence of a human.

**1.1.2 Humans have a self-location and identification coordinate frame** that is trained from infancy to give the human brain a proprioceptive self-knowledge capability. Even a baby, with a self-knowledge capability, instinctively knows the location of every surface point on its body; the location of its flailing limbs; and by extension, the location of every coordinate frame point in the near space defined by its flailing limbs. The fundamental design characteristic of the RRC-Humanoid Robot is a centralized hub of intelligence, a proprietary module that is the centralized "self location and identification" coordinate frame of the system. This module gives the RRC-Humanoid Robot a robotic form of proprioceptive knowledge, similar to human proprioceptive intelligence. In the RRC-Robot, the self-knowledge capability is the basis for all knowledge.

**1.1.3 In order to achieve contextual, or 'self-knowledge' of visual data, auditory data, olfactory data, gustatory data, and vestibular data, all the data obtained from those other human-like sensors must be related and correlated with the self-knowledge, self location and identification coordinate frame.**

**1.1.4 The human brain relates, correlates, prioritizes and remembers** sensory input data. Similarly, to achieve human-like intelligence, relating, correlating, prioritizing and remembering input patterns must be the essential analysis tool. In contrast, most robotic computers are designed to calculate, compute and solve problems related to sizes, distances, shapes, and colors of objects recorded in the Field

Of View (FOV) of the visual system or sensed by the other sensors.

**1.1.5 Human intelligence is gained only from the 6 external sensors:** tactile, visual, auditory, olfactory, gustatory, and vestibular sensors. These sensors provide for the consciousness associated with human ‘feeling,’ ‘seeing,’ ‘hearing,’ ‘smelling,’ ‘tasting,’ and ‘balancing.’ The recording monitors of the RRC-Humanoid Robot emulate the external sensors of humans.

**1.1.6 In a smart RRC-Humanoid Robot,** the mechanical robotic body and associated sensors simulate the human body and the human sensors. Also the control system operates in a manner similar to the human brain; that is, by relating and correlating the input data rather than computing and calculating distance, size, and shape.

## 2.0 Behavioral Programming and the Development of Human-like AI

Behavioral programming is achieved by training the humanoid robot to control its body, limbs, and verbal-phoneme sound generator on the basis of input data from the six external sensors. It is an experiential supervised programming technique analogous to the autonomous learning of a human. Behavioral programming techniques are employed for all the sensory input signals of the humanoid robot.

For example, the tactile input signals are used to define the central hub of intelligence, the self-nodal map/coordinate frame, of the humanoid robot. The behavioral programming technique employed for the self location and identification ‘self-knowledge’ coordinate frame is an itch-scratch methodology, wherein the robot is fully trained and remembers how to a) reach and touch (scratch) all points located on the surface of the robotic body, and all points in the near space surrounding the robotic body, b) to identify and locate all such points, and c) to identify and locate all the “end joint” body parts (ends of fingers, elbow, knee etc.) used to scratch all the itch points. When the level of training reaches the threshold of ‘self-knowledge,’ the Self Nodal Map Module and associated TSMs will facilitate the robotic identification and recognition of all body parts, and the navigation of all movable parts of the robot towards any and every itch point located on the surface of the robotic body and all points in the near space surrounding the robotic body.

The totality of the programmed “self location and identification” data, stored in a TSM-memory module, is the basis for the “self-knowledge” level of intelligence. Analogous to the proprioceptive knowledge of a human, a RRC-Robot with a fully programmed ‘self-knowledge’ capability ‘knows,’ behaviorally, the location of every surface point of the robotic body, the location of flailing limbs, and by extension, the location of every coordinate frame point in the near space defined by flailing limbs.

In the visual and auditory RRC-Humanoid systems, experiential intelligence is obtained by performing behavioral programming on the processed raw data coming from the video visual recording monitor and the auditory recording monitor. The raw data is processed in an Interface Circuit, inputted to the RRC and then behaviorally programmed to reach human-like levels of AI. Behavioral programming reaches the level of experiential human-like intelligence, when the RRC-Humanoid Robot demonstrates behaviorally that it has identified, recognized, visualized and comprehended in the same manner as does a human, the signals coming from the visual sensors, or the auditory sensors. The following sections will describe the processing of the raw data in the Interface Circuit, and the behavioral programming of the processed data within the RRC-Humanoid Robot. On completion of behavioral programming, the RRC-Humanoid Robot demonstrates behaviorally human-like levels of AI for the identification, recognition, visualization or comprehension of the processed raw data.

## 3.0 Processing the Visual Raw Data in the Interface Circuit and Behaviorally Programming the Processed Data.

**3.0.1 The Interface Circuit stage:** The visual raw data consists of the output of the two CCD arrays of the 2-video visual cameras of the visual recording monitor. This data is inputted to the Interface Circuit. The Interface Circuit generates a real time 3D-photometric image that is a high fidelity representation of the real objects that gave rise to that image. The Interface Circuit includes a processing stage for the calibration and projection of the 3D-photometric image onto the self-location and identification Nodal Map Module, the centralized ‘self-knowledge’ coordinate frame of the system.

**3.0.2 Behavioral Programming of the Calibrated 3D-photometric Image:** Once the 3D-photometric image is calibrated and projected onto the self-knowledge Nodal Map Module of the RRC, The RRC-Humanoid Robot is behaviorally programmed to control its body and limbs, and the verbal phoneme sound generator in relation to the 3D-photometric image. The 3D-photometric image is identified, recognized, visualized, and comprehended by generating different words by the verbal phoneme sound generator or undertaking distinguishing body or limb actions based on the 3D-photometric image. The following aspects of the image are processed: a) The rainbow of colors between the 4000 Angstrom- purple and the 8000 Angstrom-red. b) All objects in the field of view of the robotic system. And c) All shapes, forms and colors of the 3D-objects in the field of view of the robotic system.

The identification, recognition, visualization and comprehension of all objects, shapes, colors, and forms is

achieved behaviorally. The RRC-Robot behaviorally moves its body and limbs, and controls its verbal phoneme sound generator so as to distinguish the various identifications, recognitions, visualizations and comprehensions of all objects in the FOV of the visual system.

Note: Behavioral-programming of the Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot generates an operational definition of the ‘identification’, ‘recognition’ and ‘comprehension’ levels of AI. Any human need merely verbally ask the RRC-Robot to identify, recognize, comprehend, or visualize any color or 3D-object in the FOV, in order to obtain a response that is indistinguishable from the response of another human.

For example: A person can hold an ‘apple’ in front of the Robot and ask the Robot to “Identify the object I am holding in front of you.” A fully programmed Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot will respond: “That is an apple.” The robot could also demonstrate comprehension of the image by responding verbally with encyclopedic data relating to the object, etc.

## **4.0 Processing the Auditory Raw Data in the Interface Circuit and Behaviorally Programming the Processed Data.**

**4.0.1 The Interface Circuit Stage:** The auditory raw data consists of the output of the sound receiving microphones that are sensitive to the auditory frequency range of zero to 20,000 cps (simulating the human ear). When a talking sound is applied to the ear-like receiving microphones, they generate a sequence of frequencies and amplitudes as a function of time. These frequencies and amplitudes may be illustrated in an amplitude-frequency-time (a-f-t)-diagram. This (a-f-t) data is inputted to the Interface Circuit.

The Interface Circuit stage performs the following functions: a) It processes the a-f-t data into collective modalities that have been selected to be characteristic of 120 phoneme-sound combinations present in the English language. b) With the aid of a spectrum analyzer, the collective modalities are tuned to the selected phoneme sound combinations in the English language, to musical sounds or to environmental noise. c) It performs behavioral speech processing on sequential sets of phoneme sounds and identifies and recognizes these sequential sets as “ words” or “sentences” formed by the person speaking to the microphones of the auditory recording monitor. (Note: The behavioral speech processing performed in the Interface Circuit stage is described in the next section). d) The Interface Circuit may be programmed to recognize, identify and correct, incorrect grammatical structures. e) In addition the (a-f-t) data must be formatted within the Interface Circuit so that it is compatible with the RRC-Phoneme Sound Generator and the input to the RRC-Multi-dimensional Nodal Map Module [4] [5] [6] [7].

The final output of the Interface Circuit stage: The ‘words and sentences,’ music or environmental noise are inputted to their respective Multi-dimensional Nodal Map Modules of the RRC-Humanoid Robot, for behavioral programming.

### **4.0.2 Behavioral Programming of the Words and Sentences Inputted to the RRC-Multi-dimensional Nodal Map Module:**

Once the words and sentences are projected to the Multi-dimensional RRC-Nodal Map Module, the RRC-Humanoid Robot is behaviorally programmed to control body and limbs, and the verbal phoneme sound generator in relation to the words and sentences applied to the Multi-dimensional Nodal Map Module. Words and sentences are identified, recognized, and comprehended by behaviorally programming the RRC-Robot to generate different words by the verbal phoneme sound generator or undertaking distinguishing body or limb actions based on the words or sentences applied to the Multi-dimensional RRC-Nodal Map Module. The following behavioral programming procedures are undertaken: 1. Training to repeat, read, and write phoneme-sound based words and sentences by relating and correlating the acoustic content with the visual image represented by the signals. 2. Training the robot to comprehend the meaning of a ‘heard’ word. 3. Training to verbally describe experiential sensory data obtained from the visual, tactile, olfactory or gustatory sensors. 4. Training the robot to get into a ‘conversational Mode,’ 5. Training the robot to respond to commands, etc.

## **5.0 Speech Processing by Behavioral Programming the Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot: Recognizing the Acoustic Sequential Set of Phoneme-signals as Phonetic Words and Sentences.**

### **5.1 The Problem:**

The problem of converting the perceived acoustic spectrographic (a-f-t) properties of language into an identifiable phonetic structure is an ill posed problem, similar to the inverse optics problem [8]. There is not a simple one to one mapping between the acoustic properties of speech and the phonetic structure of an utterance. Co-articulation (the segmentation problem) is generally identified as the major source of the problem. Co-articulation gives rise to difficulty in dividing the acoustic signal into discrete “chunks” that correspond to individual phonetic segments and a lack of invariance in the acoustic signal associated with any given phonetic segment.

Note: The usual methods for solving the problem includes lexical segmentation processing (co-articulation), word recognition processing, interactive-activation processing, context effect processing, syntactic effects on lexical access processing, lexical information and sentence processing, syntactic processing and intonation-structure processing.

## **5.2 The Behavioral Speech Processing Methodology for Solving the Inverse Auditory Problem.**

Because of the complexity in the mapping between the acoustic signal and phonetic structure, an experiential, behavioral programming methodology was developed for ‘unpacking’ the highly encoded, context dependent speech signals. ‘Unpacking’ is performed in the Interface Circuit by programming the RRC to repeat and ‘remember’ (in the TSM-memory modules) the ‘heard’ words and sentences of multiple speakers.

Further ‘unpacking’ is performed by behavioral programming techniques that includes the following: First, by relating, correlating, associating and calibrating the heard verbal speech with the corresponding visual and tactile data obtained in the visual and tactile coordinate frames in which the robot is operating. Next, by training the RRC-Robot to be sensitive to such factors as acoustic phonetic context, speaker’s ‘body language,’ speaking rates, loudness and ‘emotion laden’ intonations. The Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot takes into account the acoustic consequences of such variations when mapping the acoustic signal into the phonetic structure. The problems of speaker’s ‘body language,’ ‘emotion laden’ intonations, acoustic phonetic context, speaking rates, and loudness is solved in the Auditory RRC by coordinating the search engines of the visual and tactile systems with the search engine of the Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot. speaking rates, loudness and ‘emotion laden’ intonations. The Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot takes into account the acoustic consequences of such variations when mapping the acoustic signal into the phonetic structure. The problems of speaker’s ‘body language,’ ‘emotion laden’ intonations, acoustic phonetic context, speaking rates, and loudness is solved in the Auditory RRC by coordinating the search engines of the visual and tactile systems with the search engine of the Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot.

## **6.0 Innovative Features of RRC-Humanoid Robots: How the Invention Differs From, and is an Improvement Over, What Currently Exists.**

Present day humanoid robots have never before been programmed with human-like AI that includes human-like identification, recognition, visualization and comprehension of a) the words and sentences ‘heard’ by an Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot, b) the full array of sizes, distances, shapes, and colors of objects recorded in the FOV of the Visual RRC-Humanoid Robot, and c) a capability to respond verbally and intelligently to the queries or statements spoken by humans, or the visual signals observed by the robot. The RRC-Humanoid Robot does so because of innovative features that have been incorporated into the system. The following description of innovative features is divided into 3 parts; Part 1 describes innovative features that are common to the Visual

and Auditory RRC-Humanoid systems. Part 2 describes features that are unique to the Visual-RRC-Humanoid Robot. Part 3 describes features that are unique to the Auditory-RRC-Humanoid Robot.

## **6.1 Part 1-Innovative Features Common to the Visual and Auditory RRC-robots**

**6.1.1 Incorporation of the RRC** ((Rosen A, & D.B. Rosen, 2003, 2006a,b,c): The RRC is an operating system that has been designed (by reverse engineering the functional characteristics of the human brain) to relate, correlate, prioritize and remember visual and auditory input data. Relating, correlating, prioritizing, and remembering visual and auditory input patterns is the essential analysis tool required to achieve human-like intelligence levels and to reduce the amount of programming required to a bounded number of programming steps.

- Compared to other systems: Most other computer systems are artificially intelligent by calculating, computing and solving problems related to speech processing, or sizes, distances, shapes, and colors of objects recorded in the FOV of the visual system. They are not programmed to achieve human-like levels of AI.

**6.1.2 Incorporation of the Relational Correlation Sequencer (RCS):** An RRC consists of sets of RCS-modules and associated memory units called Task Selector Modules (TSMs) that operate by relating and correlating the input signals and prioritizing and remembering important correlations. The RCS is a proprietary module described by Rosen and Rosen (Rosen A, & D.B. Rosen, 2003, 2006a,b,c).

- Compared to other systems: Most other robotic systems are not made up of modules specifically designed to relate and correlate the input signals and prioritize and remember important correlations.

**6.1.3 Incorporation of a Behavioral Programming Methodology for Training the Humanoid Robot.**

Behavioral programming is achieved by training the humanoid robot to control its body, limbs, and verbal-phoneme sound generator on the basis of input data from the 6 external sensors. It is an experiential supervised programming technique analogous to the autonomous learning of a human. The disadvantage of behavioral programming is that the RRC-Robot must be a fully built, mechanically operational system before behavioral programming techniques can be initiated. The advantages of behavioral programming is that it yields human-like levels of artificial intelligence never before programmed into a computer, as follows:

- a) Tactile behavioral programming yields a self-location and identification level of intelligence analogous to the proprioceptive intelligence and self-knowledge of a human.

- b) Visual behavioral programming yields a behavioral form of intelligence for the identification, recognition, visualization, and comprehension of visual images analogous to humans. As a matter of fact, the operational definition of human-like identification, recognition, visualization, and comprehension is derived from the behavioral programming methodology.
- c) Auditory behavioral programming yields a behavioral form of intelligence for the identification, recognition, and comprehension the “heard” sound input signals, and the capability to respond verbally (a behavioral control function), in the same manner as humans.
- d) Auditory-visual-tactile behavioral programming yields a behavioral form of intelligence for the formation of human-like abstractions and human-like conceptualization of the input data as related to the data stored in the memory system. For example, behaviorally, a ‘chair’ is defined as an object that the robot can ‘sit on.’ Then the robot is trained to identify and recognize these objects by behaviorally ‘sitting on them.’ Thus a ‘bean bag,’ a bar stool, a recliner, or a folding chair would all be identified conceptually as chairs. And the robot has thereby achieved a level of abstraction for the concept of a chair. In a similar manner the robot may be trained to recognize and identify common nouns representing such everyday objects as ‘doors’ (a closeable entrance or egress opening of an enclosed space), table, tree, and verbs that are descriptive of ‘freedom,’ ‘slavery,’ ‘democracy,’ and ‘totalitarianism.’

**6.1.4 Incorporation of a Central Hub of Intelligence:** The RRC-Humanoid Robot is programmed to perform all tasks relative to a self-location and identification task, performed by a nodal map, known as the Self Nodal Map/coordinate frame, and associated with one of the RCSs that make up a RRC. It is important to stress the word “all”, since no task may be performed by the system that is not related to the centralized Self-Nodal Map/coordinate frame. The centralized Self-Nodal Map coordinate frame is the central hub of intelligence for the system.

- Compared to other systems: Most other intelligent computer systems do not relate all the programmed tasks to a single centralized coordinate frame or task. Therefore it is much more difficult to access the diverse “knowledge-data” stored in the computer system.

**6.1.5 Design of a ‘Self-knowledge’ Capability:** A trained Self-Nodal Map-coordinate frame gives the robot a level of intelligence that may be called robotic proprioception knowledge or ‘self location and identification’ knowledge. The totality of the programmed ‘self location and identification’ data, stored in a TSM- memory module, is the basis for the ‘self-knowledge’ level of intelligence. A RRC Robot with a fully programmed “self-knowledge” capability ‘knows,’ behaviorally, the location of every surface point of

the robotic body, the location of flailing limbs, and by extension, the location of every coordinate frame point in the near space defined by flailing limbs.

- Compared to other systems: Most other computer systems don’t have proprioceptive knowledge or a “self-knowledge” capability-coordinate frame to which all other data may be related. They do not internalize the data into a self-knowledge coordinate frame; that is, they do not relate all the programmed tasks to a single centralized coordinate frame. Examples of such machines, which do not have a self-knowledge capability, are the famous Turing machine and the chess playing computers that always win when playing against a human competitor.

**6.1.6 Design of an “Awareness”-Monitoring Capability:** In order to be capable of achieving a level of programmed intelligence that can be termed human-like ‘awareness’ of the input data, the robotic system must constantly monitor the sensory data throughout the operational lifetime of the robot, and relate the monitored data to the ‘self-knowledge’ coordinate frame. When tactile sensors that form a protective covering of the robotic body, constantly monitor the environment around the robotic body for any possible tactile activation, then robotic ‘self-knowledge’ becomes another level of intelligence called ‘robotic self-awareness’ of the tactile environment around the robot. Robotic self-awareness coupled with ‘self-knowledge’ of the tactile sensory data may lead to a behavioral robotic reaction to the data that is analogous to the human-like modality, the sensation of ‘feeling touch-pain,’ associated with the pressure exerted on tactile mechano-receptors (pressure transducers).

- Compared to other systems: Most other computer systems designed to perform monitoring or surveillance do not have a human-like ‘awareness’ capability unless the monitored data is constantly related to a ‘self-knowledge’ coordinate frame.

**6.1.7 Internalization of the Data:** In the RRC-Humanoid Robot, the sensory data obtained by any recording monitor must be ‘internalized’ with respect to the ‘self-knowledge’ memory module. Internalization means that the data from each of the sensors must be related and correlated with the self-knowledge memory module in a manner such that the robot develops ‘self-knowledge’ of the visual data, the auditory data, the olfactory data, and the gustatory data. The ‘self-knowledge’ level of intelligence may therefore be gained for the auditory, olfactory, and gustatory sensors, in addition to the visual sensors.

- Compared to other systems: Most other computer systems do not have a ‘self-knowledge’ level of intelligence capability. And they certainly cannot extend that self-knowledge capability to other sensors. That is, self-knowledge cannot be extended to the visual, auditory, olfactory, and gustatory sensors.

**6.1.8 Quantifying the Amount of Programming Required to Achieve Human-like Levels of AI:** With the internalization process in place, achieving human-like intelligence of the sensory data is dependent on the amount of behavioral training or programming performed on a RRC-Humanoid Robot. It is a software development involving relations and correlations between signals wherein ‘robotic self-knowledge,’ ‘robotic awareness,’ ‘robotic comprehension,’ ‘robotic visualization,’ and ‘sensation’ generation within the RRC, all refer to the level of training programming of the various modules of the RRC. The RRC-Robot may be programmed behaviorally to the level of intelligence of a human that learns all the relational and correlational data taught in the public educational system grades K-I through K-12. The number of relations, correlations, and the priority levels stored in the TSM-memory modules of such an RRC-Robot increases proportionally to the data learned in grades K-1 through K-12. And the total ‘knowledge’ gained by the system may be quantified by the number of relations and correlations programmed and stored into the system as the robot is trained from grade K-1 to grade K-12.

- Compared to other systems: The designers of most other intelligent-computer systems have never quantified the level of intelligence programmed into their system by the number of relations and correlations between the various sensory data inputs.

## **6.2 Part 2: Innovative Features of the Visual RRC-Humanoid Robot.**

**6.2.1 A Paradigm Shift in Methodology: Formation of a 3D-photometric Image.** The analytical-programming employed by the Visual RRC-Humanoid Robot is a fundamental paradigm shift in the methodology generally employed by other computer vision systems. The shift in emphasis is from methodologies involving calculations of image size, distance, shape, form, and color, to an analytical methodology involving the formation of a 3D-photometric image within the controller, and relating, correlating, prioritizing and remembering various aspects of a 3D-photometric image.

In the Visual RRC-Humanoid Robot great emphasis is placed on the formation within the controller of a 3D-photometric image that is a high fidelity representation of objects/colors present in the FOV of the visual system. It is that image that is calibrated, applied to the 3D-coordinate frame defined by the ‘self-knowledge’ Nodal Map Module, and related and correlated with other input data. In the Visual RRC-Humanoid Robot, a human-like level of visualization intelligence is obtained by behavioral programming techniques applied to relating, correlating, prioritizing, remembering and acting on various aspects of the 3D-photometric image. The super-position of the visual 3D-photometric image onto the self-knowledge, itch-scratch, coordinate frame, facilitates the internalization of the 3D-photometric image and the development of the visualization level of AI.

- Compared to other systems: The formation of a high fidelity 3D-photometric image in the Interface Circuit of the Visual RRC-Robot is a unique, innovative and advantageous way to analyze the image, internalize the image, and reach the ‘visualization’ level of artificial intelligence.

**6.2.2 Design of an Interface Circuit Compatible with Human-like Levels of AI:** The visual Interface Circuit not only generates a 3-D photometric image, it also magnifies and displaces the image so that it is adjusted with, and completely calibrated with, the Euclidean itch-scratch, self location and identification coordinate frame, the so called self-knowledge coordinate frame of the system.

- Compared to other systems: The interface Circuit is highly dependent on the Nodal Map Module input system of the RRC, a proprietary module of the Visual RRC-Humanoid Robot.

## **6.3 Part 3: Innovative Features of the Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot**

The Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot is a Visual RRC-Humanoid robot equipped with an auditory microphone monitor and verbal phoneme sound generator and programmed to reach human-like levels of declarative-verbal AI. The following innovative features are incorporated into the system.

**6.3.1 A Paradigm Shift in the Analytical-Programming Methodology Employed in Speech Processing Systems:** The behavioral programming methodology employed by the Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot is a fundamental paradigm shift in the methodology generally employed by other computer speech processing systems. The shift in emphasis is from analytical programming methodologies involving calculations of acoustic signal pattern that are mapped onto phonetic structures, to an analytical methodology involving experiential or behavioral programming by relating, correlating, prioritizing, repeating and remembering various aspects of the of the acoustic signals.

**6.3.2 Design of an Interface Circuit Compatible with Human-like Levels of AI:** The innovativeness of the Auditory RRC-Humanoid Robot also lies in the design of an interface that facilitates a) the internalization and the human-like ‘self-knowledge’ level of intelligence of the auditory data; b) The design of a multi-dimensional p-phoneme vector space, input to the multi-dimensional Auditory Nodal Map Module; and c) The incorporation of a RCS that forms a babbling Sequence Stepper Module to facilitate repetitive programming.

### **References:**

- [1] Turing AM (1950), Computing Machinery and Intelligence, Mind 59: 433-460
- [2] Turing AM (1953), Solvable and Unsolvable Problems, Science News 31: 7-23

[3] Rosen A. & D.B. Rosen (2007), The Turing Machine Revisited: ASSC-e archive ([www.mcon.org](http://www.mcon.org))

[4] Rosen A. & D.B. Rosen (2003), The Design of a Volitional, Obstacle avoiding Robot, Machine Consciousness Journal 1: 41-56 (available for viewing at [www.mcon.org](http://www.mcon.org))

[5] Rosen A. & D.B. Rosen (2006a), The Design of a Sensation Generating Mechanism, ASSC e-archive (available for viewing at [www.mcon.org](http://www.mcon.org))

[6] Rosen A. & D.B. Rosen (2006b), A Neural Network Model of the Connectivity of the Biological Somatic Sensors, Published in IEEE -Xplore Proceedings of the IEEE-WCCI July 26-21 2006, Canada.

[7] Rosen A. & D.B. Rosen (2006c), An Electromechanical Neural Network Robotic Model of the Human Body and Brain, LNCS Part 1: 105-116; Proceedings of ICONIP-2006; Berlin: Springer-Verlag

[8] Marr D. (1962), 'Vision' Freeman Press